Application No. Applicant(s) 10/712,587 SUZUKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 2173 Ting Zhou All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ting Zhou (Patent Examiner). (4)____. (2) David Yang (Reg. No. 44,415). Date of Interview: 01 June 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/712,587

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner discussed the applicant's preliminary amendment with the applicant's representative. The applicant's representative pointed out that the applicant has cancelled claims 1, 4-9, 14, 16-18, 20 and 22-24 and added new claims 26-30 in the preliminary amendment filed on 11/12/03, however, the restriction requirement received by the applicant on 4/19/07 did not take into account the preliminary amendment. A new office action will be issued, correcting the previous error.